



ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

Web: <https://lr.law.qut.edu.au/index>

Email: law.journal@qut.edu.au

Phone: +61 (07) 3138 2712

QUT Law Review has aligned with the [Committee on Publication Ethics \(COPE\)](#) best practice guidelines for dealing with ethical issues in journal publishing and has adopted the COPE guidelines which the journal members (Advisory Board, editors and the journal manager) have agreed meet the purposes and objectives of the journal.

Recognising our ethical and other responsibilities, we take all possible measures against malpractice and we are committed to ensuring that reprint, advertising or other commercial revenue or political gain has no impact or influence on editorial decisions. We publish papers based only on their quality, importance, originality, and relevance to our remit.

We evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, citizenship, ethnic origin, or political philosophy of the authors. We are committed to ensuring ethics in publication and high quality of scholarship. Conformance to standards of ethical behaviour is therefore expected of all parties involved: authors, editors, reviewers, and the publisher.

AUTHORS

By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) warrant the manuscript is their own, original work and it has neither been published previously nor is currently being considered for publication elsewhere. Submitting the same manuscript concurrently to more than one journal is unacceptable. Authors should cite publications which have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical conduct and is unacceptable. The corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the paper and its submission for publication.

The authors also warrant the research has been conducted in an ethical and responsible manner, follows relevant legislation or court orders, and/or has received research ethics approval (if relevant).

EDITORS

The editors of this journal will evaluate manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit and fit with the journal's themes. The editors are responsible for deciding which submitted papers should be published. The editor and any editorial staff must maintain confidentiality and not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher. The editors will not use unpublished information in their own research without the express written consent of the author. Editors should take



reasonable responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.

REVIEWERS

This journal uses a blind peer review process which assists in making editorial decisions. The review process usually takes 4-6 weeks. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used by reviewer without the express written consent of the author. Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers. Peer reviewers' identities are protected, as are authors.

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST:

The author(s) undertake:

- the paper has not been published before
- the paper is not under consideration for publication anywhere else
- the paper has been approved by co-authors
- any competing interests have been declared
- all sources of funding have been disclosed
- the research has received research ethics approval (if relevant) and the name of the ethics committee is stated in the methods section.